arXiv:alg-geom/9511017v1 27 Nov 1995

On the structure of semistable rigid sheaves on algebraic surfaces

B.V. Karpov [*]

November 1995

Abstract

Let S be a smooth projective surface, K be the canonical class of S and H be an ample divisor such that $H \cdot K < 0$. In this paper we prove that for any rigid ($\operatorname{Ext}^1(F,F) = 0$) semistable sheaf F in the sense of Mumford–Takemoto stability w.r.t. H there exists an exceptional collection $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$ of sheaves on S such that F can be constructed from $\{E_i\}$ by a finite number of extensions.

Introduction.

Let S be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with the canonical class K. Suppose H is an ample divisor such that $H \cdot K < 0$.

For any coherent sheaf F on S with $r(F) > 0$ put by definition:

$$\mu(F) = \frac{c_1(F) \cdot H}{r(F)} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ – the Mumford–Takemoto slope of } F \text{ w.r.t. } H;$$

$$\gamma(F)(n) = \frac{\chi(F(nH))}{r(F)} \in \mathbb{Q}[n] \text{ – the Gieseker slope of } F \text{ w.r.t. } H.$$

A coherent sheaf F without torsion is μ-*semistable* (*stable*) if for any subsheaf E of F such that $0 < r(E) < r(F)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\mu(E) \le \mu(F) \qquad (\mu(E) < \mu(F)).$$

A torsion free sheaf F is γ-*semistable* (*stable*) if for any subsheaf E of F with $0 < r(E) < r(F)$ the inequality

$$\gamma(E)(n) \le \gamma(F)(n) \qquad (\gamma(E)(n) < \gamma(F)(n))$$

holds for $n \gg 0$.

A sheaf F is *rigid* if $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F,F) = 0$ and *superrigid* if $\operatorname{Ext}^i(F,F) = 0$ for $i > 0$. An *exceptional* sheaf is a superrigid sheaf E such that $\operatorname{Hom}(E,E) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

---

[*] The work on this paper was supported by the International Science Foundation grant MKU 300 and by the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research grant N 95-01-00840

An ordered collection of sheaves $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ is called *exceptional* if all E_i are exceptional and $\operatorname{Ext}^i(E_k, E_j) = 0,\ \forall i$ for $k > j$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM. *Any rigid μ-semistable sheaf F has a filtration*

$$0 = F_{n+1} \subset F_n \subset \dots \subset F_2 \subset F_1 = F$$

such that all the quotients F_i/F_{i+1} are isomorpfic to $E_i \oplus \dots \oplus E_i$ for some exceptional γ-stable sheaves E_i and the collection $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ is exceptional.

Results on the structure of rigid and superrigid sheaves are closely connected with study of exceptional collections of sheaves over del Pezzo surfaces (see [KuOr]) and on surfaces with nef anticanonical class (see [Kul]). The theorem formulated above is a generalization of [Kul, 2.4.1, 3.].

Actually, the existence of an ample divisor having negative intersection with the canonical class imposes a restriction on the surface. However, the main result of this paper gives a new information, in particular, for rational ruled surfaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(m))$, $m \geq 2$ and for appropriate blowings up of them.

Observe that for $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(m))$ we have $n \leq 3$ because the maximal possible length of an exceptional collection is $\dim K_0(S) = 4$ and the images of E_i in $K_0(S)$ belong to the linear subspace $c_1 \cdot H = r\mu(F)$.

The author is grateful to S. A. Kuleshov for stimulating discussions and to A. N. Rudakov and A. L. Gorodentsev for attension to this work.

1. Stability.

1.1. We begin with some notation. We write that $\gamma(E) > \gamma(F)$ if $\gamma(E)(n) > \gamma(F)(n)$ for $n \gg 0$. By the Riemann–Roch theorem,

$$\gamma(F)(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^2H^2 + n\Big(\mu(F) + \frac{1}{2}H \cdot K\Big) + \frac{\chi(F)}{r(F)} \quad .$$

It is readily seen that

$$\gamma(E) > \gamma(F) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mu(E) > \mu(F) \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu(E) = \mu(F) \\ \dfrac{\chi(E)}{r(E)} > \dfrac{\chi(F)}{r(F)} \end{cases} .$$

This implies that any μ-stable sheaf is also γ-stable and any γ-semistable sheaf is also μ-semistable.

1.2. We shall use the following properties of (semi)stable sheaves.

1. A torsion free sheaf F is γ-semistable (γ-stable) iff $\gamma(F) \leq \gamma(G)$ $(\gamma(F) < \gamma(G))$ for any torsion free quotient sheaf G of F.
2. If E and F are γ-semistable and $\gamma(E) > \gamma(F)$, then $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F) = 0$.
3. Suppose $0 \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact triple of sheaves, where F and G are γ-semistable and $\gamma(F) = \gamma(G)$. Then $\gamma(F') = \gamma(F)$ and F' is γ-semistable.

4. Let $E_1\,, E_2$ be γ-stable sheaves, $\gamma(E_1)=\gamma(E_2)$, and $\operatorname{Hom}(E_1,E_2)\neq 0$; then $E_1\cong E_2$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(E_1,E_2)\cong\mathbb{C}$.

5. The first three statements are true for μ-semistable sheaves.

These properties are well known, see, for example, [Kul]. Besides, for (semi)stable sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_n$ these statements are proved in [OSS]. It is not hard to see that the proof in our case is similar.

1.3. COROLLARY. *Suppose E_1 and E_2 are μ-semistable sheaves, $\mu(E_1)\geq\mu(E_2)$; then* $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_2,E_1)=0$.

Proof. By Serre duality, it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_2,E_1)\cong\operatorname{Hom}^*(E_1,E_2\otimes K)$. On the other hand, we have $c_1(E_2\otimes K)=c_1(E_2)+r(E_2)K$. Consequently, we get $\mu(E_2\otimes K)=\mu(E_2)+K\cdot H<\mu(E_2)\leq\mu(E_1)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to use the stability properties.

2. Properties of filtrations.

2.1. Let us introduce some notation. Let F be a sheaf. By xF we denote the sum $\underbrace{F\oplus\cdots\oplus F}_{x\text{ times}}$. Suppose

$$0=F_{n+1}\subset F_n\subset\cdots\subset F_2\subset F_1=F \tag{1}$$

is a filtration of F. Denote by $Gr(F)$ the ordered collection of quotients

$$Gr(F)=(F_n/F_{n+1},F_{n-1}/F_n,\ldots,F_1/F_2)\,.$$

Sometimes we write that F has a filtration with $Gr(F)=(G_n,G_{n-1},\ldots,G_1)$. This means that there is a filtration (1) such that $G_i=F_i/F_{i+1},\ i=1,\ldots,n$. Note that $G_n=F_n$.

We shall use the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q}=\bigoplus_i\operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}(G_i,G_{i+p}) \tag{2}$$

which converges to $\operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}(F,F)$.

Evidently, any filtration with two quotients is nothing more than exact triple

$$0\longrightarrow G_2\longrightarrow F\longrightarrow G_1\longrightarrow 0\,.$$

2.1.1. LEMMA. (Mukai) *If* $\operatorname{Hom}(G_2,G_1)=\operatorname{Ext}^2(G_1,G_2)=0$ *in the above-mentioned exact triple, then* $\dim\operatorname{Ext}^1(F,F)\geq\dim\operatorname{Ext}^1(G_1,G_1)+\dim\operatorname{Ext}^1(G_2,G_2)$.

The proof is found in [Mu] or in [KuOr]. Besides, the reader will easily prove this fact via the spectral sequence.

2.2. PROPOSITION. *Let F be a sheaf; then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists a filtration with* $Gr(F)=(G_n,\ldots,G_{i+1},G_i,\ldots,G_1)$;

(ii) *there exists a filtration with* $Gr(F)=(G_n,\ldots,G_{i+2},\tilde{G},G_{i-1},\ldots,G_1)$, *where $\tilde{G}$ has a filtration with* $Gr(\tilde{G})=(G_{i+1},G_i)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $0 \subset F_n \subset \cdots \subset F_{i+2} \subset F_{i+1} \subset F_i \subset \cdots \subset F$ be the filtration with $Gr(F) = (G_n, \ldots, G_{i+1}, G_i, \ldots, G_1)$; then this filtration with omitted F_{i+1} has the quotients $Gr(F) = (G_n, \ldots, G_{i+2}, \tilde{G}, G_{i-1}, \ldots, G_1)$. Here $\tilde{G} = F_i/F_{i+2}$. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
& F_{i+2} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & F_{i+2} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_{i+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G_{i+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

it follows that $Gr(\tilde{G}) = (G_{i+1}, G_i)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Actually, we have only the middle column and the lower row of the above-mentioned diagram. Clearly, one can reconstruct the rest. This completes the proof.

2.3. PROPOSITION. *Let* $0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{F} \longrightarrow 0$ *be an exact triple; then the following conditions are equvivalent:*

(i) $\tilde{F}$ *has a filtration with* $Gr(\tilde{F}) = (G_n, \ldots, G_1)$;

(ii) F *has a filtration with* $Gr(F) = (E, G_n, \ldots, G_1)$.

Proof. We use induction on n. For $n = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Consider $n > 1$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose $0 \subset \tilde{F}_n \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{F}_2 \subset \tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{F}$ is the filtration with $Gr(\tilde{F}) = (G_n, \ldots, G_1)$; then $\tilde{F}_2$ has the filtration with $Gr(\tilde{F}_2) = (G_n, \ldots, G_2)$. Consider the epimorphism $F \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{F} \to G_1$ and its kernel F_2. It is readily seen that there is the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
& E \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \tilde{F}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_1 \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

By the induction hypothesis, F_2 has a filtration with $Gr(F_2) = (E, G_n, \ldots, G_2)$. From the middle row of the diagram it follows that F has the required filtration.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $0 \subset E \subset F_n \subset \cdots \subset F_2 \subset F$ be the filtration with $Gr(F) = (E, G_n, \ldots, G_1)$; then we have the two upper rows and the middle column of (3). Clearly, the rest part of the diagram can be reconstructed. By the induction hypothesis, there is a filtration of $\tilde{F}_2$ with $Gr(\tilde{F}_2) = (G_n, \dots, G_2)$. Thus, the lower row of diagram (3) implies that $\tilde{F}$ has the required filtration. This concludes the proof.

Combining the two previous statements, we get the following.

2.4. PROPOSITION. *A sheaf F has a filtration with $Gr(F) = (G_n, \dots, G_1)$ and there are filtrations $Gr(G_i) = (E^{(i)}_{m_i}, \dots, E^{(i)}_1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ if and only if F has a filtration with*

$$Gr(F) = \left(E^{(n)}_{m_n}, \dots, E^{(n)}_1 \, , \, E^{(n-1)}_{m_{n-1}}, \dots, E^{(n-1)}_1 , \; \dots \; , \, E^{(1)}_{m_1}, \dots, E^{(1)}_1\right) \, .$$

The proof is left to the reader.

3. The case of γ-semistable sheaf.

3.1. Suppose F is γ-semistable sheaf. In the work [Kul, 1.3.7] it is proved that F has a filtration

$$0 = F_{n+1} \subset F_n \subset \cdots \subset F_2 \subset F_1 = F$$

with γ-semistable quotients

$$Gr(F) = (G_n, G_{n-1}, \dots, G_1) \ ,$$

where each G_i has a filtration with stable quotients isomorphic to each other:

$$Gr(G_i) = (E_i, E_i, \dots, E_i) \ , \ \gamma(E_i) = \gamma(G_i) = \gamma(F) \, .$$

Moreover, this filtration can be constructed such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F_i, G_{i-1}) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_i, G_{i-1}) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_{i-1}, G_i) = 0 \ .$$

3.2. PROPOSITION. *Let F be rigid; then the following statements hold:*

a) *all F_i and G_i are rigid;*

b) $\mathrm{Ext}^1(G_n, G_1) = 0$*;*

c) *E_i are exceptional and $G_i = E_i \oplus \cdots \oplus E_i$;*

d) $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_n, E_i) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1$.

PROOF. a) The sheaves F_2 and G_1 are semistable and $\gamma(F_2) = \gamma(G_1)$. Using 1.3, we get $\mathrm{Ext}^2(G_1, F_2) = 0$. From Mukai Lemma it follows that F_2 and G_1 are rigid. Taking F_2 in place of F, we similarly obtain that F_3 and G_2 are rigid, etc.
b) Consider the spectral sequence associated with the filtration. This sequence has $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}(G_i, G_{i+p})$ and converges to $\mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}(F, F)$. Using 1.3, we get $\mathrm{Ext}^2(G_i, G_j) = 0, \ \forall i, j$. Therefore, the first term of the spectral sequence has the form:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & & \\
\mathrm{Ext}^1(G_n, G_1) & 0 & & \\
\mathrm{Hom}(G_n, G_1) & * & 0 & \\
 & * & * & \ddots \\
 & & & p+q=2 \\
 & & * & \ddots \\
 & & & p+q=1 \\
 & & & \ddots \\
 & & & p+q=0 \\
 & \cdot \xrightarrow{d} & \cdot &
\end{array}$$

The sheaf F is rigid whence the spectral sequence converges to 0 on the diagonal $p+q=1$. Thus we have $\operatorname{Ext}^1(G_n,G_1)=0$.
c) It follows from 1.3 that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_i,E_i)=0$. Taking G_i in place of F in b), we get $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_i,E_i)=0$. This implies that $G_i=E_i\oplus\cdots\oplus E_i$. Finally, E_i is γ-stable whence $\operatorname{Hom}(E_i,E_i)\cong\mathbb{C}$.

The statement d) follows from c) and b) applied to F_i. This concludes the proof.

3.3. Key Lemma. Let F be γ-semistable rigid sheaf. Consider the filtration

$$0=F_{n+1}\subset F_n\subset\cdots\subset F_2\subset F_1=F$$

described in 3.1. By the previous proposition, this filtration has the quotients

$$Gr(F)=(x_nE_n,\ldots,x_1E_1)\ ,\quad \gamma(E_i)=\gamma(F)\ ,$$

where the sheaves E_i are exceptional, γ-stable, and $E_i\not\cong E_{i-1}$, $i=2,\ldots,n$. In addition, $\operatorname{Hom}(F_i,E_{i-1})=0$.

Suppose that there are some $i\in\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}(E_n,E_i)\neq0$.

Take the greatest j such that $\operatorname{Hom}(E_n,E_j)\neq0$. From the stability properties it follows that $E_j\cong E_n$. Using 3.2 d) and applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(E_n,\cdot)$ to the exact triples

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & x_nE_n & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & x_{n-1}E_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0\\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & F_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & x_{n-2}E_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & 0\\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & F_j & \longrightarrow & x_jE_n & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

we get: $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_n,F_{n-1})=\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_n,F_{n-2})=\cdots=\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_n,F_j)=0$.

Therefore, the last extension is trivial and $F_j=F_{j+1}\oplus x_jE_n$. Whence, we have a new filtration of F

$$\begin{gathered}0\subset(x_n+x_j)E_n\subset F_{n-1}\oplus x_jE_n\subset\cdots\subset F_{j+2}\oplus x_jE_n\subset\\ \subset F_j\subset F_{j-1}\subset\cdots\subset F\end{gathered}\tag{4}$$

with the quotients

$$Gr(F)=\big((x_n+x_j)E_n,x_{n-1}E_{n-1},\ \ldots\ ,x_{j+1}E_{j+1},x_{j-1}E_{j-1},\ \ldots\ ,x_1E_1\big)$$

If $E_{j+1}\cong E_{j-1}$, then by 2.2 we can join the quotients $x_{j+1}E_{j+1}$ and $x_{j-1}E_{j-1}$ into the sum $(x_{j+1}+x_{j-1})E_{j-1}$. The corresponding filtration is (4) with omitted F_j. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}(F_i\oplus x_jE_n,E_{i-1})=0$ for $i=j+2,\ldots,n$.

Iterating this procedure, we obtain the following.

LEMMA. *There exists a filtration*

$$0\subset\tilde{x}_nE_n=\tilde{F}_{m+1}\subset\tilde{F}_m\cdots\subset\tilde{F}_2\subset\tilde{F}_1=F$$

with the quotients $\tilde{Gr}(F)=(\tilde{x}_nE_n,\tilde{x}_m\tilde{E}_m,\ldots,\tilde{x}_1\tilde{E}_1)$ *such that the ordered collection* $(\tilde{E}_1,\ldots,\tilde{E}_m)$ *is a subcollection of* $(E_1,\ldots,E_{n-1})$ *and*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E_n,\tilde{E}_i)=0\ ,\quad i=1,\ldots,m\,.$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{E}_m = E_{n-1}\,, \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{F}_i, \tilde{E}_{i-1}) = 0\,,$ *and* $\tilde{E}_i \not\cong \tilde{E}_{i-1}\,, 2 \le i \le m\,.$

4. Proof of the theorem.

The proof is in two steps.

STEP 1. F **is** γ**-semistable**. We start with the filtration described in the previous section:

$$0 = F_{n+1} \subset F_n \subset \cdots \subset F_2 \subset F_1 = F\ .$$

The quotients are:

$$Gr(F) = (x_n E_n, \ldots, x_1 E_1)\ , \quad \gamma(E_i) = \gamma(F)\ ,$$

where the sheaves E_i are exceptional and γ-stable, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_n, E_i) = 0\,,$ $E_i \not\cong E_{i-1}\,,$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(F_i, E_{i-1}) = 0\,.$ By the Key Lemma, we can construct this filtration such that $\mathrm{Hom}(E_n, E_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1\,.$

The sheaf $\tilde{F} = F/x_n E_n$ is γ-semistable and has the filtration

$$0 = F_n/x_n E_n \subset F_{n-1}/x_n E_n \subset \cdots \subset F_2/x_n E_n \subset F_1/x_n E_n = \tilde{F} \tag{5}$$

with γ-semistable quotients

$$Gr(\tilde{F}) = (x_{n-1}E_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1 E_1)\ .$$

Therefore, we obtain $\mathrm{Hom}(E_n, \tilde{F}) = 0\,.$ Using 1.3, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\tilde{F}, E_n) = 0\,.$ Applying Mukai Lemma to the triple

$$0 \longrightarrow x_n E_n \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \tilde{F} \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

we conclude that $\tilde{F}$ is rigid.

Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, E_{i-1})$ to the triple

$$0 \longrightarrow x_n E_n \longrightarrow F_i \longrightarrow F_i/x_n E_n \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

we get $\mathrm{Hom}(F_i/x_n E_n, E_{i-1}) = 0\,.$ Hence the filtration (5) of the sheaf $\tilde{F}$ is as in 3.1. From 3.2 d) it follows that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{n-1}, E_i) = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, n-2\ .$$

Using the Key Lemma, we can construct a filtration of $\tilde{F}$ with the quotients

$$Gr(\tilde{F}) = (\tilde{x}_{n-1}E_{n-1}, \tilde{x}_m \tilde{E}_m, \ldots, \tilde{x}_1 \tilde{E}_1)$$

such that the collection $(\tilde{E}_1, \ldots, \tilde{E}_m)$ is a subcollection of $(E_1, \ldots, E_{n-2})$ (really, $\tilde{E}_m = E_{n-2}$) and $\mathrm{Hom}(E_{n-1}, \tilde{E}_i) = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, m\,.$ From 2.3 it follows that there exists a filtration of F with the quotients

$$Gr(F) = (x_n E_n, \tilde{x}_{n-1}E_{n-1}, \tilde{x}_m \tilde{E}_m, \ldots, \tilde{x}_1 \tilde{E}_1)\ .$$

Replacing $\tilde{F}$ by $\tilde{F}/\tilde{x}_{n-1}E_{n-1}$ in this reasoning and iterating this procedure, we get a filtration of F with

$$Gr(F) = (x_n E_n, \tilde{x}_{n-1}E_{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{n-2}E_{n-2}, x'_k E'_k, \ldots, x'_1 E'_1)\ ,$$

where the collection $(E'_1,\dots,E'_k,E_{n-2},E_{n-1},E_n)$ is exceptional.

STEP 2. F **is μ-semistable and rigid.** Let $0\subset F_n\subset\dots\subset F_2\subset F_1=F$ be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of F w.r.t. γ (see [Kul]) with the quotients $Gr(F)=(G_n,\dots,G_1)$. Here G_i are γ-semistable and $\gamma(G_i)>\gamma(G_{i-1})$. The sheaf G_n is a subsheaf of F and G_1 is a quotient sheaf of F whence $\mu(G_n)\le\mu(F)\le\mu(G_1)$. Therefore, we have $\mu(G_i)=\mu(F)$. Hence $\mu(F_i)=\mu(F)$ and F_i are semistable.

Let us show that G_i are rigid. The sheaf F_2 has the filtration with $Gr(F_2)=(G_n,\dots,G_2)$. By the stability properties, $\mathrm{Hom}(G_i,G_1)=0,\ i=2,\dots,n$. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}(F_2,G_1)=0$. By 1.3, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^2(G_1,F_2)=0$. The Mukai Lemma implies that G_1 and F_2 are rigid. Taking F_3 in place of F_2, we similarly obtain that G_2 and F_3 are rigid. Continuing this line of reasoning, we see that all G_i are rigid.

By the first step, each G_i has a filtration with

$$Gr(G_i)=(x^{(i)}_{m_i}E^{(i)}_{m_i},\dots,x^{(i)}_1E^{(i)}_1)\ ,\ i=1,\dots,n\,,$$

where $E^{(i)}_s$ are γ-stable, $\gamma(E^{(i)}_s)=\gamma(G_i),\ s=1,\dots,m_i$, and the collection $(E^{(i)}_1,\dots,E^{(i)}_{m_i})$ is exceptional. Proposition 2.4 implies that F has a filtration with

$$Gr(F)=\left(x^{(n)}_{m_n}E^{(n)}_{m_n},\dots,x^{(n)}_1E^{(n)}_1,\ \dots\ ,x^{(1)}_{m_1}E^{(1)}_{m_1},\dots,x^{(1)}_1E^{(1)}_1\right)\qquad(6)$$

We claim that the collection $(E^{(1)}_1,\dots,E^{(1)}_{m_1},\ \dots\ ,E^{(n)}_1,\dots,E^{(n)}_{m_n})$ is exceptional. By construction, $\gamma(E^{(j)}_t)>\gamma(E^{(i)}_s)$ for $j>i$, whence $\mathrm{Hom}(E^{(j)}_t,E^{(i)}_s)=0$. On the other hand, $\mu(E^{(j)}_t)=\mu(E^{(i)}_s)$. This implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E^{(j)}_t,E^{(i)}_s)=0$. Finally, from the spectral sequence (2) associated with the filtration (6) it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E^{(j)}_t,E^{(i)}_s)=0$. This completes the proof.

References.

[KuOr]: S.A.Kuleshov, D.O.Orlov. *Exceptional sheaves on Del Pezzo surfaces.* Izv. Russ. Acad. Nauk Ser. Mat. Vol. **58** (1994), No.3, 59-93 (in Russian)

[Kul]: S.A.Kuleshov. *Exceptional and rigid sheaves on surfaces with anticanonical class without base components.* Preprint No.1 of Math. College of the Independent Moscow University, 1994 (in Russian). English version is available from e-print servise at alg-geom@eprints.math.duke.edu, alg-geom/9511016.

[Mu]: S.Mukai. *On the Moduli Spaces of Bundles on K3 Surfaces, I.* In: Vector Bundles ed. Atiyah et al, Oxford Univ. Press (1986), 67-83.

[OSS]: C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler. *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces.* Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart 1980.